

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,088	DIESLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard Edgar	3745

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Richard Edgar.

(3) ____.

(2) John Musone.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2007

Time: 10:30 AM EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Abstract is not one paragraph. Accordingly, the title of the Abstract labeled as paragraph 0026 in the Substitute Specification filed 3/2/2004 has been deleted. Also, the figure designated to be printed on the first page of the PCT application, "FIGURE 1" has also been deleted, just in case the substitute Abstract in the Substitute Specification is overlooked.



200218158

REPLACEMENT SHEET

1/2

Approved
4/3/07

